

# The 2019 coronavirus: Learning curves, lessons, and the weakest link

In the space of just six weeks, a new coronavirus, from a family that historically was not viewed as a global health concern, has become daily headline news around the globe. The 21st century marked its arrival with the emergence of three previously unknown coronaviruses. SARS-CoV (severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus) was recognized in November 2002,<sup>1,2</sup> MERS-CoV (Middle East respiratory syndrome coronavirus) in June 2012,<sup>3,4</sup> and 2019-nCoV in December 2019.<sup>5</sup> Previously, human coronaviruses, known since the 1960s, were viewed as being only marginally relevant to the clinic, except for infants, the elderly, and immunocompromised individuals.<sup>1,6,7</sup> What these, and several other recent outbreaks have in common, is how fast they circled the world. Outbreaks that centuries ago needed weeks or months to spread globally can today reach any continent within days.<sup>8</sup> The first, spring wave of the 1918 Spanish Flu, at a time when travel by ship was the fastest way of transportation around the world, spread through the United States, Europe, and possibly Asia over six months.<sup>9,10</sup> The pandemic affected over a quarter of the world's population, caused 50-100 million deaths, more than the two World Wars combined, and caused life expectancy at birth in the United States to drop by 11.8 years between 1917 and 1918.<sup>9,11,12</sup> In comparison, in 2002-2003 the SARS-CoV spread to 5 countries within 24 hours,<sup>13</sup> and in 2009 the H1N1 influenza virus spread to 30 countries within 6 weeks.<sup>14</sup>

In the most recent of the three coronavirus outbreaks, several clusters of patients with pneumonia started to be reported on December 8, 2019 from Wuhan, China, and most of them were epidemiologically linked to the Huanan Seafood Wholesale Market.<sup>5,15-17</sup> The market was closed on January 1, 2020.<sup>5</sup> By February 11, 2020, the virus, 2019-nCoV, was reported from >28 countries and special administrative regions, affected >43 000 people, and caused 1018 deaths.<sup>18</sup> The ability of the virus to spread by human-to-human transmission was confirmed.<sup>19</sup> A preliminary epidemiological analysis indicates that the incubation period of 2019-nCoV is similar to that of SARS, but with a wider confidence interval, and longer than the one for the 2009 H1N1 influenza strain.<sup>20</sup> On February 11, 2020, the disease caused by 2019-nCoV was named COVID-2019, for coronavirus disease in 2019.<sup>21-23</sup>

Real-time information about the outbreak is available via an online virus tracker developed by researchers at Johns Hopkins University, based on information collected from the World Health Organization (WHO), the United States Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC), the European CDC, China CDC (CCDC),

China's National Health Commission (NHC), and DXY (a Chinese website that aggregates NHC and local CCDC).

The prompt availability of the viral genome was critical for allowing comparisons with coronaviruses from previous outbreaks and helped make initial predictions. After the 2019-nCoV was isolated on January 7, 2020, its sequence was published on January 12, 2020.<sup>24,25</sup> The virus shares >70% genetic similarity with the 2002-2003 SARS-CoV strain,<sup>5</sup> is most closely related to coronaviruses of bat origin,<sup>17</sup> its spike glycoprotein gene appears to have emerged by recombination between a bat coronavirus and a coronavirus of unknown origins, and relative synonymous codon usage bias analyses indicate that snakes may be a potential reservoir.<sup>26</sup>

The SARS-CoV spike protein receptor binds the angiotensin-converting enzyme 2 (ACE2) on host cells, an interaction that shapes cross-species and human-to-human transmission.<sup>27,28</sup> ACE2 is a metalloproteinase expressed in numerous tissues, including alveolar epithelial cells and enterocytes.<sup>29-32</sup> Sequencing indicates that the 2019-nCoV might also use ACE2 as a receptor.<sup>33</sup> The 2019-nCoV spike receptor-binding domain is 73%-76% similar at the genomic level to the one from the SARS-CoV from human, civet, or bat viruses.<sup>33</sup> In late 2003-early 2004, after the first coronavirus outbreak, a second coronavirus outbreak was reported in Guangdong, China, in four individuals in contact with animals, all of whom recovered, and the strain was different from the one that caused the first outbreak.<sup>34-36</sup> Amino acid analyses indicate that 2019-nCoV uses human ACE2 less efficiently than the 2002-2003 SARS-CoV but more efficiently than the 2003-2004 SARS-CoV. In 2019-nCoV, the presence of asparagine at position 501, which is compatible with, but not ideal for binding human ACE2, suggests that the virus has acquired the ability for human-to-human transmission, but this appears to be more limited than that of the 2002-2003 SARS-CoV strain.<sup>26</sup> The mutation of this asparagine to threonine in 2019-nCoV was predicted to significantly increase the ability of the virus to bind the human ACE2 receptor and should be closely monitored for.<sup>33</sup>

One of the earliest interventions during the 2019-nCoV outbreak involved quarantining an estimated 50-60 million people in multiple Chinese cities, in what appears to be the largest mass quarantine in history.<sup>37,38</sup> It is still too early to visualize the impact of this initiative on the global dynamics of the outbreak, and retrospective analyses will be critical. Quarantines, even though they are controversial, come at a high cost, and have been viewed with suspicion, were historically found to delay and slow the spread of various outbreaks.<sup>39-43</sup> Quarantines are one of the non-pharmaceutical

interventions, which also include personal hygiene measures, cancellation of mass gatherings and public events, school and workplace closure, and travel restrictions.<sup>44-46</sup> What all these interventions share is that at least during the initial stages of a new outbreak, particularly when a novel pathogen is involved and therapies are not yet available, they are one of the few options available. A lesson that flu taught us is that non-pharmaceutical interventions are at least as important as drugs or vaccines in controlling a pandemic.<sup>47</sup> A comparison between St. Louis and Philadelphia during the 1918 flu pandemic is relevant in this respect. After St. Louis experienced its first cases of flu on October 5, it closed schools, theaters, and banned public gatherings on October 7.<sup>47,48</sup> Philadelphia experienced its first cases on September 17, but allowed a city-wide parade to be held on September 28, and only implemented measures on October 3.<sup>47,48</sup> During the September 8-December 28, 1918 period, the peak weekly excess pneumonia and influenza death rate was 251 vs 31/100 000 in St. Louis and Philadelphia, respectively.<sup>48</sup>

Another intervention, albeit of controversial value, that was implemented in the wake of some outbreaks, including the current coronavirus one, is thermal screening at some airports.<sup>49</sup> An analysis of airline passenger screenings during the 2009 H1N1 pandemic emphasized that many national authorities usually focus on preventing the import, but not the export of pathogens, even though from a contemporary perspective interventions would be globally most impactful if implemented as close as possible to the sources of an epidemic, by exit screening.<sup>50</sup> Entry screening did not detect any of the confirmed SARS cases in Australia, Canada, and Singapore during the 2002-2003 outbreak, but is believed to have discouraged ill people from traveling and raised awareness.<sup>51-53</sup> During the SARS outbreak in Taiwan, among 80 813 travelers arriving on flights from WHO-designated SARS-affected areas who were quarantined for 10 days, probable or suspected SARS was diagnosed in 21 (0.03%) but none of them was identified by thermal scanning upon entering Taiwan.<sup>54,55</sup> In Italy, even though entry screening was conducted at two international airports, none of the 72 individuals, including four probable SARS cases, that were admitted for clinical evaluation, were referred to the hospitals by airport authorities.<sup>56</sup> Some of the limitations of screening measures, in the wake of an outbreak, include denying contact with ill individuals, taking antipyretic medication to conceal fever,<sup>51</sup> and its reliance on the length of the incubation period of the infectious disease.<sup>57</sup>

About 400 new infectious diseases were identified since 1940, and new pathogens emerge at faster rates.<sup>10,58-60</sup> Every outbreak brings something new, provides opportunities to reap the benefits gained from past epidemics and pandemics, and provides novel lessons that will shape the framework to manage emerging infectious diseases. One aspect that all outbreaks share is their potential for rapid global dissemination through air travel. As we attempt to predict and quantitate the impact of international travel on an infectious disease outbreak and visualize the host, environmental, and microbial factors that make some outbreaks spread faster and others have higher mortality, it is worth noting that in 2013, for the first time, the annual number of passengers exceeded three billion.<sup>8</sup>

An estimated one million people travel internationally every day, one million people travel between developing and developed countries every week,<sup>61</sup> and the volume of airline passengers increases annually.<sup>62</sup> In 2014, for the first time, the daily number of flights exceeded an annual average of 100 000.<sup>8</sup> It has become increasingly easy to reach any continent within 24 hours, a period that is shorter than the incubation time of most contagious diseases.<sup>63</sup> This brings us closer to the inevitability of future pandemics that experts have long warned about, whether influenza<sup>64</sup> or SARS.<sup>65</sup>

On the bright side, despite the inevitability of future pandemics that could quickly spread globally due to the escalation of air travel, science reached the point where it can quickly identify a pathogen, learn about its biology, and protect global health. For example, as 2019-nCoV illustrated, modern science can identify and sequence new viruses within days. Thus, even though future pandemics are inevitable, embracing technological advancements and learning from the past will make the consequences of epidemics and pandemics less inevitable.

The 2019-nCoV outbreak has brought an element of *déjà-vu*, plenty of fears, some assumptions, and a relentless race to better understand this novel virus. Critical questions include identifying the reservoirs, understanding the transmission route(s), defining the incubation period and the time when the virus can be transmitted, characterizing the clinical spectrum of the disease, exploring the potential of long-term health effects, and learning more about susceptible populations. As we approach mid-February, we don't know yet much about the epidemic curve of the outbreak. Its morbidity, mortality, mental health impact, and psychological effects are impossible to predict. The existence and the contribution of super-spreaders, defined as contagious hosts that create more secondary contacts than most others in the population, will be a critical component of retrospective analyses, and there is an indication that super-spreading might already have occurred in the current outbreak.<sup>66</sup>

An important consideration, for this and future outbreaks, is understanding the types of different non-pharmaceutical interventions, their combined benefit, and the best timing for their implementation. This is both a learning curve and a new lesson in the wake of every epidemic, most likely riddled with differences even between two nearby cities impacted by the same outbreak. However, this is also the weakest link and the one that will indisputably assume a critical role in the management of zoonotic infectious diseases, a world where, as we know by now, history keeps repeating itself.


## NOTE ADDED IN PROOF

As of February 26, 2020, >82,000 COVID-19 infections and 2,798 deaths were reported. The first major outbreak in Europe, and the largest one outside of Asia, was reported in Italy, with 453 cases and 7 deaths as of February 26, 2020. In Italy, the difficulty to trace the chain of the outbreak to the first infection in the country represents a huge setback in terms of the public health interventions that could help contain the spread of the virus. The

SARS-CoV-2 (previously referred to as 2019-nCoV) outbreak has brought to prominence another topic related to epidemic and pandemic preparedness, which involves transmission of the virus, the management of an outbreak, and the role of quarantines aboard cruise ships.

## DISCLOSURE

None.

Richard Albert Stein<sup>1,2</sup> 

<sup>1</sup>Chemical and Biomolecular Engineering, New York University  
Tandon School of Engineering, Brooklyn, NY, USA

<sup>2</sup>Department of Natural Sciences, LaGuardia Community  
College, Long Island City, NY, USA

Email: steinr01@nyu.edu richardastein@gmail.com

## ORCID

Richard Albert Stein  <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-5944-8008>

## REFERENCES

- Graham RL, Donaldson EF, Baric RS. A decade after SARS: strategies for controlling emerging coronaviruses. *Nat Rev Microbiol*. 2013;11:836-848.
- de Wit E, van Doremalen N, Falzarano D, Munster VJ. SARS and MERS: recent insights into emerging coronaviruses. *Nat Rev Microbiol*. 2016;14:523-534.
- Alsalamy S, Arabi YM. Infection with Middle East respiratory syndrome coronavirus. *Can J Respir Ther*. 2015;51:102.
- Adney DR, van Doremalen N, Brown VR, et al. Replication and shedding of MERS-CoV in upper respiratory tract of inoculated dromedary camels. *Emerg Infect Dis*. 2014;20:1999-2005.
- Hui DS, I Azhar E, Madani TA, et al. The continuing 2019-nCoV epidemic threat of novel coronaviruses to global health – the latest 2019 novel coronavirus outbreak in Wuhan, China. *Int J Infect Dis*. 2020;91:264-266.
- Principi N, Bosis S, Esposito S. Effects of coronavirus infections in children. *Emerg Infect Dis*. 2010;16:183-188.
- Trombetta H, Faggion HZ, Leotte J, Nogueira MB, Vidal LRR, Raboni SM. Human coronavirus and severe acute respiratory infection in Southern Brazil. *Pathog Glob Health*. 2016;110:113-118.
- Saunders-Hastings P, Krewski D. Reviewing the history of pandemic influenza: understanding patterns of emergence and transmission. *Pathogens*. 2016;5:66.
- Taubenberger JK, Morens DM. 1918 Influenza: the mother of all pandemics. *Emerg Infect Dis*. 2006;12:15-22.
- Young LS, Ruschel S, Yanchuk S, Pereira T. Consequences of delays and imperfect implementation of isolation in epidemic control. *Sci Rep*. 2019;9:3505.
- Bloom DE, Cadarette D. Infectious disease threats in the twenty-first century: strengthening the global response. *Front Immunol*. 2019;10:549.
- Noymer A, Garenne M. The 1918 influenza epidemic's effects on sex differentials in mortality in the United States. *Popul Dev Rev*. 2000;26:565-581.
- Institute of Medicine (US) Forum on Microbial Threats. Overview of the SARS Epidemic. In: Knobler S, Mahmoud A, Lemon S, Mack A, Sivitz L, Oberholtzer K eds. *Learning from SARS: Preparing for the Next Disease Outbreak: Workshop Summary*. Washington, DC: National Academies Press (US); 2004.
- Drexler M. Institute of Medicine (US). III, Global Challenges. *What You Need to Know About Infectious Disease*. Washington, DC: National Academies Press (US); 2010:27-34.
- Wu F, Zhao SU, Yu B, et al. A new coronavirus associated with human respiratory disease in China. *Nature*. 2020. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-020-2008-3>
- Chen N, Zhou M, Dong X, et al. Epidemiological and clinical characteristics of 99 cases of 2019 novel coronavirus pneumonia in Wuhan, China: a descriptive study. *Lancet*. 2020;395:507-513.
- Lu R, Zhao X, Li J, et al. Genomic characterisation and epidemiology of 2019 novel coronavirus: implications for virus origins and receptor binding. *Lancet*. 2020;395:565-574.
- Johns Hopkins University. Tracking the Wuhan coronavirus; 2020. <https://argc.is/OfHmTX>. Accessed February 2, 2020.
- Chan J-W, Yuan S, Kok K-H, et al. A familial cluster of pneumonia associated with the 2019 novel coronavirus indicating person-to-person transmission: a study of a family cluster. *Lancet*. 2020;395:514-523.
- Ryu S, Chun BC. Epidemiological characteristics of novel coronavirus: an interim review. *Epidemiol Health*. 2020;42:e2020006.
- Anonymous. Coronavirus updates: The illness now has a name: COVID-19. *The New York Times*; 2020. <https://www.nytimes.com/2020/02/11/world/asia/coronavirus-china.html>. Accessed February 11, 2020.
- Dahlberg B, Renken E. New coronavirus disease officially named COVID-19 by the World Health Organization. *National Public Radio*; 2020. <https://www.npr.org/sections/goatsandsofa/2020/02/11/802352351/new-coronavirus-gets-an-official-name-from-the-world-health-organization>. Accessed February 11, 2020.
- Anonymous. Coronavirus latest: WHO officially names disease COVID-19. *Nature*; 2020. <https://www.nature.com/articles/d41586-020-00154-w>. Accessed February 12, 2020.
- World Health Organization. Emergencies preparedness, response. Pneumonia of unknown origin – China; 2020. <https://www.who.int/csr/don/12-january-2020-novel-coronavirus-china/en/>. Accessed February 1, 2020.
- GenBank. Wuhan seafood market pneumonia virus isolate Wuhan-Hu-1, complete genome; 2020. <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nucleotide/MN908947>. Accessed February 1, 2020.
- Ji W, Wang W, Zhao X, Zai J, Li X. Cross-species transmission of the newly identified coronavirus 2019-nCoV. *J Med Virol*. 2020;92:433-440.
- Freund NT, Roitburd-Berman A, Sui J, Marasco WA, Gershoni JM. Reconstitution of the receptor-binding motif of the SARS coronavirus. *Protein Eng Des Sel*. 2015;28:567-575.
- Li F. Receptor recognition and cross-species infections of SARS coronavirus. *Antiviral Res*. 2013;100:246-254.
- Goulter AB, Goddard MJ, Allen JC, Clark KL. ACE2 gene expression is up-regulated in the human failing heart. *BMC Med*. 2004;2:19.
- Donoghue M, Hsieh F, Baronas E, et al. A novel angiotensin-converting enzyme-related carboxypeptidase (ACE2) converts angiotensin I to angiotensin 1-9. *Circ Res*. 2000;87:E1-9.
- Douglas GC, O'Bryan MK, Hedger MP, et al. The novel angiotensin-converting enzyme (ACE) homolog, ACE2, is selectively expressed by adult Leydig cells of the testis. *Endocrinology*. 2004;145:4703-4711.
- Hamming I, Timens W, Bulthuis M, Lely AT, Navis GJ, van Goor H. Tissue distribution of ACE2 protein, the functional receptor for SARS coronavirus. A first step in understanding SARS pathogenesis. *J Pathol*. 2004;203:631-637.
- Wan Y, Shang J, Graham R, Baric RS, Li F. Receptor recognition by novel coronavirus from Wuhan: An analysis based on decade-long structural studies of SARS. *J Virol*. 2020. [Epub ahead of print]. <https://doi.org/10.1128/JVI.00127-20>

34. Jiang S, He Y, Liu S. SARS vaccine development. *Emerg Infect Dis*. 2005;11:1016-1020.
35. Li W, Wong S-K, Li F, et al. Animal origins of the severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus: insight from ACE2-S-protein interactions. *J Virol*. 2006;80:4211-4219.
36. Liang G, Chen Q, Xu J, et al. Laboratory diagnosis of four recent sporadic cases of community-acquired SARS, Guangdong Province, China. *Emerg Infect Dis*. 2004;10:1774-1781.
37. Drew A. China is about to find out whether its mass quarantine worked. *Bloomberg*; 2020. <https://www.bloomberg.com/news/articles/2020-02-04/will-china-s-coronavirus-quarantine-halt-the-virus>. Accessed on February 8, 2020.
38. Baker S. China's unprecedented quarantine of 11 million people in Wuhan is 2 weeks old. Here's what it's like in the isolated city. *Business Insider*; 2020. <https://www.businessinsider.com/wuhan-coronavirus-what-life-like-inside-quarantined-city-china-2020-2>. Accessed February 8, 2020.
39. Ryu S, Gao H, Wong JY, et al. Nonpharmaceutical measures for pandemic influenza in nonhealthcare settings-international travel-related measures. *Emerg Infect Dis*. 2020;26.
40. Summers JA, Wilson N, Baker MG, Gottfredsson M. The influenza pandemic of 1918-1919 in two remote island nations: Iceland and New Zealand. *N Z Med J*. 2013;126:74-80.
41. Chong KC, Ying Zee BC. Modeling the impact of air, sea, and land travel restrictions supplemented by other interventions on the emergence of a new influenza pandemic virus. *BMC Infect Dis*. 2012;12:309.
42. Nishiura H, Wilson N, Baker MG. Quarantine for pandemic influenza control at the borders of small island nations. *BMC Infect Dis*. 2009;9:27.
43. Tognotti E. Lessons from the history of quarantine, from plague to influenza A. *Emerg Infect Dis*. 2013;19:254-259.
44. Martinez DL, Das TK. Design of non-pharmaceutical intervention strategies for pandemic influenza outbreaks. *BMC Public Health*. 2014;14:1328.
45. Matthews Pillemer F, Blendon RJ, Zaslavsky AM, Lee BY. Predicting support for non-pharmaceutical interventions during infectious outbreaks: a four region analysis. *Disasters*. 2015;39:125-145.
46. Ahmed F, Zviedrite N, Uzicanin A. Effectiveness of workplace social distancing measures in reducing influenza transmission: a systematic review. *BMC Public Health*. 2018;18:518.
47. Smith R. Social measures may control pandemic flu better than drugs and vaccines. *BMJ*. 2007;334:1341.
48. Hatchett RJ, Mecher CE, Lipsitch M. Public health interventions and epidemic intensity during the 1918 influenza pandemic. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA*. 2007;104:7582-7587.
49. Baker S. Fears are rising over the spread of China's deadly Wuhan virus, which has now reached the US. Here's how airports around the world are trying to stop it; 2020. <https://www.businessinsider.com/wuhan-china-virus-airports-actions-screening-stop-spread-travel-2020-1>. Accessed February 8, 2020.
50. Khan K, Eckhardt R, Brownstein JS, et al. Entry and exit screening of airline travellers during the A(H1N1) 2009 pandemic: a retrospective evaluation. *Bull World Health Organ*. 2013;91:368-376.
51. Samaan G, Patel M, Spencer J, Roberts L. Border screening for SARS in Australia: what has been learnt? *Med J Aust*. 2004;180:220-223.
52. St. John RK, King A, de Jong D, Bodie-Collins M, Squires SG, Tam TWS. Border screening for SARS. *Emerg Infect Dis*. 2005;11:6-10.
53. Mouchtouri VA, Christoforidou EP, An der Heiden M, et al. Exit and entry screening practices for infectious diseases among travelers at points of entry: looking for evidence on public health impact. *Int J Environ Res Public Health*. 2019;16:4638.
54. Bell DM. Public health interventions and SARS spread, 2003. *Emerg Infect Dis*. 2004;10:1900-1906.
55. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Use of quarantine to prevent transmission of severe acute respiratory syndrome-Taiwan, 2003. *MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep*. 2003;52:680-683.
56. Petrosillo N, Puro V, Ippolito G. Border screening for SARS. *Med J Aust*. 2004;180:597.
57. Gostic KM, Kucharski AJ, Lloyd-Smith JO. Effectiveness of traveller screening for emerging pathogens is shaped by epidemiology and natural history of infection. *Elife*. 2015;4. <https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.05564>
58. Morse SS, Mazet JAK, Woolhouse M, et al. Prediction and prevention of the next pandemic zoonosis. *Lancet*. 2012;380:1956-1965.
59. O'Dowd A. Infectious diseases are spreading more rapidly than ever before, WHO warns. *BMJ*. 2007;335:418.
60. Howard CR, Fletcher NF. Emerging virus diseases: can we ever expect the unexpected? *Emerg Microbes Infect*. 2012;1:e46.
61. Sutherst RW. Global change and human vulnerability to vector-borne diseases. *Clin Microbiol Rev*. 2004;17:136-173.
62. Meslé MMI, Hall IM, Christley RM, Leach S, Read JM. The use and reporting of airline passenger data for infectious disease modelling: a systematic review. *Euro Surveill*. 2019;24. <https://doi.org/10.2807/1560-7917.ES.2019.24.31.1800216>
63. Mangili A, Vindenes T, Gendreau M. Infectious risks of air travel. *Microbiol Spectr*. 2015;3. <https://doi.org/10.1128/microbiolspec.IOL5-0009-2015>
64. Osterholm MT. Preparing for the next pandemic. *N Engl J Med*. 2005;352:1839-1842.
65. Heymann DL, Rodier G. Global surveillance, national surveillance, and SARS. *Emerg Infect Dis*. 2004;10:173-175.
66. Gallagher J. Coronavirus super-spreaders: Why are they important? *BBC News*; 2020. <https://www.bbc.com/news/health-51447143>. Accessed February 10, 2020.

**How to cite this article:** Stein RA. The 2019 coronavirus: Learning curves, lessons, and the weakest link. *Int J Clin Pract*. 2020;74:e13488. <https://doi.org/10.1111/ijcp.13488>